

MEETING ABSTRACT

Open Access

New SPM8-based MRAC method for simultaneous PET/MR brain images: comparison with state-of-the-art non-rigid registration methods

David Izquierdo-Garcia^{1*}, Kevin T Chen^{1,2}, Adam E Hansen³, Stefan Förster⁴, Didier Benoit³, Sylvia Schachoff⁴, Sebastian Fürst⁴, Daniel B Chonde^{1,2,5}, Ciprian Catana¹

From PSMR14: 3rd Conference in PET/MR and SPECT/MR
Kos Island, Greece. 19-21 May 2014

¹Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, MA, USA

We describe a new MR-based attenuation correction (MRAC) method for neurological studies performed using integrated PET/MR scanners. The method, combining the advantages of image segmentation and atlas-based approaches to generate a high-resolution template, is based on the widely available SPM8 software and provides robust and accurate linear attenuation coefficients (LACs) for head while requiring minimal user interaction.

Atlas generation: 3T MR and CT images from 15 glioblastoma subjects were used to generate the high-resolution atlas. MR images were segmented into 6 tissue classes:





Figure 1 Comparison of LACs from a validation subject for our proposed method (A), the SyN method (B) and the manufacturer's built-in Dixon method (C) to the gold standard CTAC (D). Image differences with respect to the gold standard CTAC of our method (E), the SyN method (F) and the Dixon method (G).

Table 1 Summary of voxel- and ROI-based results between our method (atlas) and the current manufacturer’s method (Dixon)

		*	*	*	*
		μ atlas	μ Dixon	PETatlas	PETDixon
Validation	All ROIs	0.99(1.81)	3.04(3.15)	2.74(2.28)	9.38(4.97)
dataset	Voxel-based	1.86(4.06)	4.18(6.68)	3.87(5.0)	13.0(10.25)

* Average across subjects of the mean absolute relative changes, RC(%) and SD: Mean(SD);



GM, WM, CSF, soft tissue, bone and air)[1]. Tissue classes were then coregistered using an iterative diffeomorphic image registration algorithm [2] to form the template.

Atlas validation: The template was validated on 16 subjects. SyN [3] and IRTK [4], considered state-of-the-art for non-rigid image registration[5], were used for comparison. Final attenuation maps were created from the warped CT atlas following [6]. PET images were then reconstructed using the proposed methods as well as the manufacturer’s built-in method (dual-echo Dixon-VIBE sequence) [7] and compared to the gold standard CT-based attenuation correction (CTAC).

The qualitative and quantitative analysis of the attenuation maps revealed that the SPM8-based method produces very robust results (Figure 1). In terms of the PET data quantification, we observed improvements of > 70% compared to the VIBE-based method (Table 1 and Figure 2). When compared to SyN-based image registration, the SPM8 approach showed improved global results on the brain area (Figures 1 and 2).

We presented a new MRAC technique for brain images acquired on simultaneous PET/ MR scanners. The new approach relies on segmentation- and atlas-based features to

provide robust and more accurate LACs than using state-of-art non-rigid image registration while avoiding sophisticated user input or interaction.

Authors' details

¹Athinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, MA, USA. ²Department of Health Sciences and Technology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. ³Department of Clinical Physiology, Nuclear Medicine and PET, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁴Department of Nuclear Medicine, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ⁵Program in Biophysics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA.

Published: 29 July 2014

References

1. Ashburner J, Friston KJ: **Unified segmentation.** *Neuroimage* 2005, **26**:839-851, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.02.018.
2. Ashburner J: **A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm.** *Neuroimage* 2007, **38**:95-113, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.07.007.
3. Avants BB, Epstein CL, Grossman M, Gee JC: **Symmetric diffeomorphic image registration with cross-correlation: evaluating automated labeling of elderly and neurodegenerative brain.** *Medical image analysis* 2008, **12**:26-41, doi:10.1016/j.media.2007.06.004.
4. Rueckert D, Sonoda LI, Hayes C, Hill DL, Leach MO, Hawkes DJ: **Nonrigid registration using free-form deformations: application to breast MR images.** *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 1999, **18**(8):712-721.
5. Klein A, Andersson J, Ardekani BA, Ashburner J, Avants B, Chiang MC, et al: **Evaluation of 14 nonlinear deformation algorithms applied to human brain MRI registration.** *Neuroimage* 2009, **46**:786-802, doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.12.037.
6. Burger C, Goerres G, Schoenes S, Buck A, Lonn AH, Von Schulthess GK: **PET attenuation coefficients from CT images: experimental evaluation of the transformation of CT into PET 511-keV attenuation coefficients.** *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2002, **29**:922-7, doi:10.1007/s00259E002E0796E3.
7. Martinez-Moller A, Souvatzoglou M, Delso G, Bundschuh RA, Ched'hotel C, Ziegler SI, et al: **Tissue classification as a potential approach for attenuation correction in whole-body PET/MRI: evaluation with PET/CT data.** *J Nucl Med* 2009, **50**:520-6, doi: 10.2967/jnumed.108.054726.

doi:10.1186/2197-7364-1-S1-A29

Cite this article as: Izquierdo-Garcia et al.: New SPM8-based MRAC method for simultaneous PET/MR brain images: comparison with state-of-the-art non-rigid registration methods. *EJNMMI Physics* 2014 **1**(Suppl 1):A29.

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► springeropen.com