

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Comparison of deep learning-based denoising methods in cardiac SPECT

Antti Sohlberg^{1,2*}, Tuija Kangasmaa³, Chris Constable² and Antti Tikkakoski⁴

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40658-023-00531-0>.

*Correspondence:
antti.sohlberg@phhyky.fi

¹ Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Päijät-Häme Central Hospital, Lahti, Finland

² HERMES Medical Solutions, Stockholm, Sweden

³ Department of Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Vaasa Central Hospital, Vaasa, Finland

⁴ Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere, Finland

Correction: EJNMMI Physics (2023) 10:9

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40658-023-00531-0>

Following publication of the original article [1], the authors identified an error in Fig. 1. The correct figure is given below.

The original article [1] has been updated.




Fig. 1 DL models. The number under the blocks presents the patch size (upper number) and number of filters (lower number). Noisy $32 \times 32 \times 32$ patches cropped from reduced acquisition time OSEM images were used as model input and model gave denoised $32 \times 32 \times 32$ patches as output. Output patches were later combined using weighted averaging to produce images at the original reconstruction matrix size

Reference

1. Sohlberg A, Kangasmaa T, Constable C, et al. Comparison of deep learning-based denoising methods in cardiac SPECT. *EJNMMI Phys*. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40658-023-00531-0>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.