### **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**

**Open Access** 



# Principal component analysis of texture features derived from FDG PET images of melanoma lesions

DeLeu Anne-Leen<sup>1</sup>, Sathekge Machaba<sup>2</sup>, Maes Alex<sup>1,3</sup>, De Spiegeleer Bart<sup>4</sup>, Beels Laurence<sup>1</sup>, Sathekge Mike<sup>2</sup>, Pottel Hans<sup>5</sup> and Christophe Van de Wiele<sup>1,6\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: christophe.vandewiele@ugent.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Nuclear Medicine, AZ Groeninge, President Kennedylaan 4, 8500 Kortrijk, Belgium <sup>2</sup> Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa <sup>3</sup> Department of Morphology and Functional Imaging, University Hospital Leuven, Leuven, Belgium <sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Drug Quality and Registration, University Ghent, Ghent, Belgium <sup>5</sup> Department of Public Health and Primary Care, KU Leuven Campus KULAK Kortrtijk, Kortrijk, <sup>6</sup> Department of Diagnostic

Sciences, University Ghent, Ghent, Belgium

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** The clinical utility of radiomics is hampered by a high correlation between the large number of features analysed which may result in the "bouncing beta" phenomenon which could in part explain why in a similar patient population texture features identified and/or cut-off values of prognostic significance differ from one study to another. Principal component analysis (PCA) is a technique for reducing the dimensionality of large datasets containing highly correlated variables, such as texture feature datasets derived from FDG PET images, increasing data interpretability whilst at the same time minimizing information loss by creating new uncorrelated variables that successively maximize variance. Here, we report on PCA of a texture feature dataset derived from 123 malignant melanoma lesions with a significant range in lesion size using the freely available LIFEx software.

**Results:** Thirty-eight features were derived from all lesions. All features were standardized. The statistical assumptions for carrying out PCA analysis were met. Seven principal components with an eigenvalue > 1 were identified. Based on the "elbow sign" of the Scree plot, only the first five were retained. The contribution to the total variance of these components derived using Varimax rotation was, respectively, 30.6%, 23.6%, 16.1%, 7.4% and 4.1%. The components provided summarized information on the locoregional FDG distribution with an emphasis on high FDG uptake regions, contrast in FDG uptake values (steepness), tumour volume, locoregional FDG distribution with an emphasis on low FDG uptake regions and on the rapidity of changes in SUV intensity between different regions.

**Conclusions:** PCA allowed to reduce the dataset of 38 features to a set of 5 uncorrelated new variables explaining approximately 82% of the total variance contained within the dataset. These principal components may prove more useful for multiple regression analysis considering the relatively low numbers of patients usually included in clinical trials on FDG PET texture analysis. Studies assessing the superior differential diagnostic, predictive or prognostic value of principal components derived using PCA as opposed to the initial texture features in clinical relevant settings are warranted.

**Keywords:** Melanoma, Radiomics, LIFEx, Principal component analysis



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

#### Introduction

Radiomics, the process of extracting and analysing textural features from medical images including <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)/PET (positron emission tomography) CT (computed tomography) imaging, has been shown to hold promise for characterization and predicting response to treatment and outcome of human malignancies [1–3].

Many of the textural features derived by available software algorithms have proven to be highly correlated with the metabolic tumour volume (MTV) as well as to each other [4, 5]. For instance, in a study by Orlhac et al. on a group of patients suffering from various types of malignancies, using the LIFEx software, it was shown that there is no added value in calculating several indices belonging to the same group, because they describe highly correlated information. In their study, even indices from different groups were proven to still be significantly correlated [4]. Likewise, in a study by Hatt et al. specifically focusing on the relationship between entropy and dissimilarity derived from the grey-level co-occurrence matrix and high-intensity large-area emphasis and zone percentage derived from the size-zone matrix, the latter features were shown to be correlated with MTV to a different degree. The level of correlation tended to decrease substantially when larger volumes are considered [5]. Moreover, for linear models, such as multiple regression analysis, a minimum number of 10-15 patients per predictor variable has been shown to produce reasonably stable estimates [6, 7]. Thus, as a function of the number of patients under study, a specific selection of the various texture features derived through radiomics shown to be of relevance in univariate analysis, should be made for inclusion in the multiple regression analysis. To date, however, most of the clinical studies that reported on the predictive and prognostic value of texture features derived from FDG PET images have included a small number of patients and identified multiple image-derived texture features with no pre-specified analytical model which may have resulted in a statistical type-I error inflation. In a study by Chalkidou et al. [8] applying appropriate statistical corrections on a series of 15 published studies dealing with texture analysis of PET and CT studies in oncology, an average type-I error probability of 76% (range 34-99%) was estimated with the majority of published results not reaching statistical significance. Furthermore, it was suggested that the persistently high correlation identified in their study for various texture features including MTV may have led to instability of the regression coefficients weights in the multivariable model used with small changes in the data leading to very different regression coefficients [9]. Whilst some studies corrected for this phenomenon better known as "the bouncing beta's", this was not the case for most of the studies reported. Both phenomena could explain in part why in a similar patient population, e.g. colorectal or oesophageal carcinoma, texture features identified and/or cut-off values of prognostic significance differ from one study to another.

Principal component analysis (PCA) is a technique for reducing the dimensionality of large datasets containing highly correlated variables, such as texture feature datasets derived from FDG PET images, increasing data interpretability whilst at the same time minimizing information loss by creating new uncorrelated (orthogonal) variables that successively maximize variance. Given that the new variables are uncorrelated, PCA omits the "bouncing beta" phenomenon. Furthermore, the limited number of new uncorrelated features generated by PCA may prove more useful for multiple regression

analysis when considering the relatively low numbers of patients usually included in clinical trials on FDG PET texture analysis. Here, we report on PCA of a texture feature dataset derived from 123 malignant melanoma lesions with a significant range in lesion size using the freely available LIFEx software.

#### **Patients and methods**

#### **Patients**

This retrospective study was approved by the ethics committee of the AZ Groeninge Hospital. The requirement to obtain informed consent was waived. Twenty-six patients suffering from malignant melanoma referred for 18F-FDG PET/CT imaging were included in the study. There were 12 men and 14 women. Mean number of lesions per patient included was 4 (range 2–10). The total number of lesions studied was 123.

#### Data acquisition, reconstruction and tumour segmentation

All patients underwent a whole-body FDG PET/CT scan using a GE 64 mCT scanner. Patients fasted for at least 8 h prior to imaging to ensure a serum glucose level less than 200 mmol/L. The time difference between injection and acquisition was  $60\pm7$  min following injection of 7 MBq/k body weight of 18F-FDG. PET raw data (list mode acquisition) were acquired for 1 min per bed position from the top of the skull to the proximal third of the femora or to the toes, depending on the location of the primary treated tumour. CT was performed with a tube voltage of 120 kV and a tube current ranging from 80 to 180 mAs (automatic setting). PET images were reconstructed using time of flight (TOF), point spread function (PSF) correction (QCLEAR) and a 256  $\times$  256 matrix (corresponding voxel volume 2.7  $\times$  2.7  $\times$  2.7 cm³). SUV was calculated as 18F-FDG uptake with decay correction normalized to injected dose and patient body weight.

Tumour volumes of interest (VOIs) were delineated using region growing and a fixed threshold set to 40% of the SUVmax (standardized uptake value) in the lesions. If necessary, a manual adjustment to exclude neighbouring interfering activity was made per VOI. Tumour VOIs were delineated on the QCLEAR generated images given that they were shown to produce better image quality in terms of signal-to-noise ratio, contrast and lesion detectability. The minimal lesion volume included for subsequent analysis was 5 cm<sup>3</sup>.

#### **Texture analysis**

First- and higher-order features were obtained using the IBSI-compliant LIFEx software [10–12]. Higher-order features were calculated using the grey-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM), the neighbourhood grey-level different matrix (NGLDM), the grey-level run length matrix (GLRLM) and the grey-level size zone matrix (GLSZM). Image noise was reduced by resampling original PET values to 64 Gy levels or bins (fixed bin number discretization). A quantization of 64 Gy levels was previously shown to provide the best compromise between sufficient sampling of voxel SUVs, preservation of original intensity information and potential complementary information with respect to metabolic tumour volume [5]. In total, 38 features were studied, respectively, volume, sphericity, compacity, homogeneity, HISENT\_log 10 (entropy from the histogram) and HISENT\_log2, features derived from the GLCM (homogeneity, energy, contrast, correlation,

entropy and dissimilarity), features derived from the GLRLM (SRE (short-run emphasis), LRE (long-run emphasis), LGRE (low grey-level run emphasis), HGRE (high grey-level run emphasis), SRLGE (short-run low grey-level emphasis), SRHGE (short-run high grey-level emphasis), LRLGE (long-run low grey-level emphasis), LRHGE(long-run high grey-level emphasis), GLNUr (grey-level non-uniformity for run), RLNU (run length non-uniformity) and RP (run percentage)), features derived from the NGLDM (coarseness, contrast and busyness), features derived from the GLZLM (SZE (short-zone emphasis), LZE (long-zone emphasis), LGZE (low grey-level zone emphasis), HGZE (high grey-level zone emphasis), SZLGE (short-zone low grey-level emphasis), SZHGE (short-zone high grey-level emphasis), LZLGE (long-zone low grey-level emphasis), LZHGE (long-zone high grey-level emphasis), GLNUz (grey-level non-uniformity for zone), ZLNU (zone length non-uniformity) and ZP (zone percentage)).

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 27. Prior to analysis, all texture features were standardized ((texture feature result – texture feature mean)/texture feature standard deviation) yielding a mean value of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 for all texture features. Standardization was performed as to make sure all the variables included have the same standard deviation and thus also the same weight, allowing for correct axis calculation of the principal components. Thus standardized texture feature data were used for principal component analysis (PCA).

The Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) test was used to assess the suitability of the data set for factor analysis (a value > 0.6 was deemed significant). Bartlett's test of sphericity was used to assess whether the correlation matrix of the normalized texture features proved significantly different from an identity matrix in which correlations between variables are all zero. (A *p* value < 0.05 was deemed significant.) Varimax rotation was used to maximize the sum of the variance of the squared loadings (where "loadings" means correlations between variables and principal components). It does so by creating new uncorrelated or orthogonal variables, called principal components, that successfully maximize variance. Finding such new variables reduces to solving an eigenvalue/eigenvector problem.

Principal components with eigenvalues greater than 1 (Kaiser criterion) were considered significant.

The commonalities for each principal component (the squared multiple correlations between the newly generated principal components and all other texture features) were considered significant when higher than or equal to 0.60.

#### Results

Mean lesion volume was 61.9 cm<sup>3</sup> (range 5–635 cm<sup>3</sup>).

The KMO measure of adequacy was 0.712 and Bartlett's test yielded a p value of 0.0001, thus meeting the statistical assumptions for carrying out principal component analysis.

Seven principal components with an eigenvalue > 1 were identified. Based on the "elbow sign" of the Scree plot, the first five were retained.

**Table 1** Total variance explained by the principal components

Principal component	Eigenvalue	% Variance	Cumulative % variance
1	11.3	30.6	30.6
2	8.7	23.6	54.2
3	5.9	16.1	70.3
4	2.7	7.4	77.7
5	1.5	4.1	81.8

**Table 2** Principal component loadings (correlations between standardized (s) texture features and principal components; *r*-values are given between brackets)

PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5
sGLRLM_LRE (0.977) sGLRLM_ LRHGE(0.971) sGLZLM_ LZHGE(0.961) sGLZLM_LZE(0.947) sGLCM_ Energy(0.946) sUniformity(0.833) sGLRLM_SRE(0.714) sGLRLM_RP(0.614)	sGLCM_Contrast (0.903) sGLCM_Dissimilarity (0.898) sNGLDM_Con- trast(0.864) sGLZLM_SZE(0.817) sGLRLM_ SRHGE(0.785) sGLZLM_ HGZE(0.731) sGLZLM_ SZHGE(0.726) sGLZLM_SZHGE(0.671) sGLZLM_L SGLZLM_L SGLZLM_L SGLZLM_L HGRE(0.654)	sGLZLM_ GLNUz(0.968) sGLRLM_ RLNU(0.961) sGLZLM_ ZLNU(0.890) sVolume(0.881) sCompacity(0.835)	sGLRLM_ LGRE(0.948) sGLRLM_ SRGLE(0.912 sGLRLM_ LRLGE(0.870) sGLZLM_ LGZE(0.677)	sGLZLM_LZLGE(0.835) sGLRLM_GLNUr(0.736) sNGLDM_busy- ness(0.677)

The contribution to the total variance of these five principal components derived using Varimax rotation was, respectively, 30.6%, 23.6%, 16.1%, 7.4% and 4.1%. These five principal components together thus explained approximately 82% of the total cumulative variance (see Table 1).

The correlation of each principal component with the standardized texture features is shown in Table 2 (rotated component matrix; only correlations > 0.6 are reported).

The first principal component proved highly positively correlated with sGLRLM\_LRE, sGLRLM\_LRHGE, sGLZLM\_LZHGE, sGLZLM\_LZE and sGLCM\_Energy ( $r \ge 0.946$ ).

The second principal component proved highly positively correlated with sGLCM\_contrast and sNGLDM\_contrast, sGLCM\_dissimilarity, sGLZLM\_SZE, sGLRLM\_SRHGLE, sGLZLM\_HGZE, sGLZLM\_SZHGE, sGLZLMZP and sGLRLM\_HGRE ( $r \ge 0.654$ ).

The third principal component proved highly significantly positively correlated with sGLZLM\_GLNU, sGLRLM\_RLNU, sGLZLM\_ZLNU, sVolume and sCompacity ( $r \ge 0.835$ ).

The fourth principal component proved highly positively correlated with sGLRLM\_LGRE, sGLRLM\_SRGLE, sGLRLM\_LRLGE and sGLZLM\_LGZE ( $r \ge 0.677$ ).

Finally, the fifth component proved highly positively correlated with sGLZLM\_LZLGE, sGLRLM\_GLNU and sGLDLM busyness ( $r \ge 0.677$ ).

#### Discussion

In the study presented, tumour volume delineation was performed using a 40% threshold region growing method, given that previous studies have shown that a fixed threshold of 40% best approximates tumour volume [13]. Whilst a gradient-based method would have allowed assessment of the entire tumour, including areas of necrosis, they are not widely available and currently their use is mainly limited to those research centres where they were developed [14–17].

Volumes smaller than 5 cm<sup>3</sup> were not included for analysis for two reasons. First, as shown previously, discontinuities such as sharp changes in image contrast when approximated by a Fourier series will be truncated with an overshoot at the border of discontinuity. This will lead to an overestimation of SUVmax values for lesions below 22 mm of diameter (or a corresponding volume of 5 cm<sup>3</sup>) when using the QCLEAR algorithm provided by GE, adopted in the current study, and to an underestimation of the tumour volume when using region growing for these smaller lesions [18]. Second, given that some texture parameters are based on series of neighbouring voxel values in the x, y or z directions and series less than 4 voxels would not make the calculations meaningful, calling for texture calculation in volumes of at least  $4 \times 4 \times 4 = 64$  voxels corresponding to a minimal volume required of at least 4 cm<sup>3</sup> when using a voxel size of 4 mm and assuming sphere-like lesions [4, 19].

Using principal component analysis, the dataset of 38 texture features generated could be compressed to a dataset of 5 new uncorrelated variables or principal components that explained approximately 82% of the total variance. The first principal component, accounting for 30.6% of the total variance, proved significantly correlated with those features assessing the distribution of long homogenous runs and zones in the tumour volume with an emphasis on those with high grey levels or accordingly high SUV values. Thus, this marker likely captures the locoregional FDG distribution within the tumour emphasizing the importance of those regions with high FDG-uptake, known to be more aggressive. SUVmax values have been previously confirmed to be a significant indicator of tumour aggressiveness and prognosis in a wide variety of human malignancies, e.g. non-small cell lung carcinoma, breast carcinoma and endometrial carcinoma [20-24]. However, whilst clinically useful, a single SUV value cannot capture all of the relevant information within the tumour. Assessing tumour heterogeneity in SUV values in non-small cell lung carcinoma patients, the latter was found to be an independent predictor of overall survival in NSCLC cancer patients in multivariable analysis in a study by Hughes et al. [25]. In their study, tumour heterogeneity was evaluated as the percentage variance unexplained in the tumour region-of-interest uptake values using an ellipsoidally contoured model and a homogenous tumour mass whose voxel intensity is greatest at the centre and diminishes in a monotone fashion as one moves radially towards the periphery of the tumour for comparison. Runs and zones of different FDG uptake likely reflect cell populations with different growth rates, vascularity, necrosis and cavitation and thus different levels of aggressiveness, all of which contribute to the overall aggressiveness of the tumour [26].

The second principal component, accounting for 23.6% of the total variance, proved most correlated with those texture features assessing the contrast in the tumour volume.

Contrast reflects the sharpness of the PET images and the depth of the texture grooves of SUV peaks.

The third principal component, accounting for an additional 16.1% of the total variance, proved significantly correlated with tumour volume and thus by definition also to tumour compactness (tumour volume/tumour surface area<sup>3/2</sup>) and to texture features assessing the non-uniformity of the length and zones of SUV levels. The latter features are known to increase when tumour volume increases as evidenced by the strong collinearity between these features and tumour volume reported previously by other authors, also including CT-imaging, and confirmed in this study [4, 5, 27]. Additionally, as shown by Welch et al. [28] using CT images obtained from head and neck and lung carcinoma patients, when correcting these features for tumour volume, e.g. GLNU, their prognostic accuracy is decreased emphasizing the importance of the tumour volume as prognosticator [28].

The fourth principal component, accounting for 7.4% of the total variance, proved highly correlated with texture features assessing the distribution of low grey level/SUV runs, both short and long. The higher the contribution of these texture features, the higher the proportion of the total tumour volume that is non-aggressive is likely to be. The degree of glucose uptake by human malignancies as imaged by FDG-PET has been previously shown to be directly related to histologic measures of tumour differentiation with well-differentiated tumours having low FDG uptake and moderately and poorly differentiated tumours having higher uptake [29, 30]. At the molecular level, a comparison of metabolism- and stem-cell-related gene expression performed by Riester et al. on a series of 552 cancer specimens derived from patients with various malignancies showed that carbohydrate/pentose/nucle-otide synthesis-related genes were elevated only in tumours that had high glucose uptake, as evidenced by FDG PET imaging, and were similar in gene expression patterns to stem cells [31].

Finally, the fifth principal component proved correlated with those features assessing the rapidity of changes in intensity (SUV values) between different neighbourhoods.

Of interest, in this series, features representing randomness and entropy such as nGLCM entropy or entropy derived from the histogram proved less significantly correlated with either of the principal components obtained (r<0.58) as opposed to various other features (see Table 1). Various clinical studies have previously shown features assessing entropy derived from FDG PET images to be predictive for outcome in a wide variety of human malignancies, e.g. oesophageal carcinoma and cervical carcinoma [32, 33]. Furthermore, these features proved to be highly reproducible and robust to the delineation method used [34].

#### Shortcomings

This retrospective study included melanoma lesions known to be highly aggressive. It is not to be excluded that principal component analysis of other types of human malignant lesions who are less aggressive results in a different set of principal components.

#### **Conclusions**

In this study on a series of 123 malignant melanoma lesions with a wide range in lesion size, PCA allowed to reduce the dataset of 38 texture features derived using the LIFEx software to a set of 5 uncorrelated new variables, whilst maintaining approximately 82%

of the total variance contained within the dataset. These 5 new uncorrelated variables provide summarized information on the locoregional FDG distribution with an emphasis on high FDG uptake regions, contrast in FDG uptake values (steepness), tumour volume, locoregional FDG distribution with an emphasis on low FDG uptake regions and on the rapidity of changes in SUV intensity between different regions. Assessment of the clinical superiority of these new uncorrelated variables as opposed to the initial dataset of texture features in clinical relevant settings, either as a differential diagnostic tool (e.g. for separating benign from malignant lesions) or as predictors of response to treatment and outcome, is ongoing.

#### **Abbreviations**

FDG 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose
PET Positron emission tomography
CT Computed tomography
MTV Metabolic tumour volume
PCA Principal component analysis

TOF Time of flight

PSF Point spread function VOI Volume of interest SUV Standardized uptake value GLCM Grev-level co-occurrence matrix GLRLM Grey-level run length matrix GLSZM Grey-level size zone matrix SRE Short-run emphasis LRE Long-run emphasis LGRE Low grey-level run emphasis

HGRE High grey-level run emphasis
SRLGE Short-run low grey-level emphasis
SRHGE Short-run high grey-level emphasis
LRLGE Long-run low grey-level emphasis
LRHGE Long-run high grey-level emphasis
GLNUr Grey-level non-uniformity for run
RLNU Run length non-uniformity

RP Run percentage
SZE Short-zone emphasis
LZE Long-zone emphasis
LGZE Low grey-level zone emphasis

HGZE High grey-level zone emphasis
SZLGE Short-zone low grey-level emphasis
SZHGE Short-zone high grey-level emphasis
LZLGE Long-zone low grey-level emphasis
LZHGE Long-zone high grey-level emphasis
GLNUz Grey-level non-uniformity for zone
ZLNU Zone length non-uniformity

ZP Zone percentage

#### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

#### **Author contributions**

All authors significantly contributed to the study set-up, data analysis and writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

This study was not funded.

#### Availability of data and materials

The dataset used and analysed during this study is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Declarations**

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of our Hospital. For retrospective studies, our Ethics Committee does not require written informed consent.

#### Consent for publication

Consent for publications was given by the Ethics Committee of our hospital.

#### Competing interests

All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 27 December 2021 Accepted: 1 September 2022

Published online: 15 September 2022

#### References

- 1. Hatt M, Tixier F, Pierce L, Kinahan P, Cheze Le Rest C, Visvikis D. Characterization of PET/CT images using texture analysis: the past, the present... any future? Fur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging, 2017:44:151–65.
- 2. Cook G, Goh V. A role for FDG PET radiomics in personalized medicine. Semin Nucl Med. 2020;50:532–40.
- Deleu A, Sathekge M Jr, Maes A, De Spiegeleer B, Sathekge M Sr, Van de Wiele C. Characterization of FDG PET images using texture analysis in tumors of the gastro-intestinal tract: a review. Biomedicines. 2020;8(9):304. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/biomedicines8090304.
- Orlhac F, Soussan M, Maisonobe J, Garcia C, Vanderlinden B, Buvat I. Tumor texture analysis in 18F-FDG PET: relationships between texture parameters, histogram indices, standardized uptake values, metabolic volumes, and total lesion glycolysis. J Nucl Med. 2014;55:414–22.
- 5. Hatt M, Majdoub M, Vallières M, Tixier F, Cheze le Rest C, Groheux D, et al. 18F-FDG PET uptake characterization through texture analysis: investigating the complementary nature of heterogeneity and functional tumor volume in a multi-cancer site patient cohort. J Nucl Med. 2015;56:38–44.
- Babyak M. What you see may not be what you get: a brief, non-technical introduction to overfitting in regressiontype models. Psychosom Med. 2004;66:411–21.
- Peduzzi P, Concato J, Kemper E, Holford T, Feinstein A. A simulation study of number of events per variable in logistic regression analysis. J Clin Epidemiol. 1996;49:1373–9.
- 8. Chalkidou A, O'Doherty M, Marsden P. False discovery rates in PET and CT studies with texture features: a systematic review. PLoS ONE. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone0124165.
- Kiers H, Smilde A. A comparison of various methods for multivariate regression with collinear variables. Stat Methods Appl. 2007;16:193–228.
- 10. Nioche C, Orlhac F, Boughdad S, Reuzé S, Goya-Outi J, Robert C, et al. LIFEx: a freeware for radiomic feature calculation in multimodality imaging to accelerate advances in the characterization of tumor heterogeneity. Cancer Res. 2018;78(16):4786–9.
- Zwanenburg A, Vallières M, Abdalah M, Aerts H, Andrearczyk V, Apte A, et al. The image biomarker standardization initiative: standardized quantitative radiomics for high throughput images-based phenotyping. Radiology. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020191145.
- Fornacon-Wood I, Mistry H, Ackermann C, Blackhall F, McPartlin A, Faivre-Finn C, et al. Reliability and prognostic value of radiomic features are highly dependent on choice of feature extraction platform. Eur Radiol. 2020;30:6241–50.
- 13. Van de Wiele C, Kruse V, Smeets P, Sathekge M, Maes A. Predictive and prognostic value of metabolic tumour volume and total lesion glycolysis in solid tumours. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2013;40:290–301.
- 14. Zasadby K, Kison P, Francis R, Wahl R. FDG-PET determination of metabolically active tumor volume and comparison with CT. Clin Positron Imaging. 1998;1:123–9.
- Liao S, Penney B, Zhang H, Suzuki K, Pu Y. Prognostic value of the quantitative metabolic volumetric tumor burden on 18F-FDG PET/CT in stage IV nonsurgical small-cell lung cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2012;39:27–38.
- Zhang H, Woblewski K, Appelbaum D, Pu Y. Independent prognostic value of whole-body metabolic tumor burden from FDG-PET in non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11548-012-0749-7.
- Lasnon C, Enilorac B, Popotte H, Aide N. Impact of the EARL harmonization program on automatic delineation of metabolic active tumour volumes (MATVs). EJNNMI Research. 2017;7:30.
- Devriese J, Beels L, Maes A, Van de Wiele C, Pottel H. Impact of PET reconstruction protocols on quantification of lesions that fulfill the PERCIST inclusion criteria. EJNMM Phys. 2018;5(1):35. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40658-018-0235-6.
- Tixier F, Le Reste C, Hatt M, Albarghach N, Pradier O, Metges J, et al. Intratumor heterogeneity characterized by textural features on baseline 18F-FDG PET images predicts response to concomitant radiochemotherapy in esophageal cancer. J Nucl Med. 2011;52:369–78.
- Orlhac F, Soussan M, Maisonobe J, Garcia C, Vanderlinden B, Buvat I. Tumor texture analysis in 18F-FDG PET: relationships between texture paramaters, histogram indices, standardized uptake values, metabolic volumes and total lesion glycolysis. J Nucl Med. 2014;55:414–22.
- 21. Orlhah F, Nioche C, Soussan M, Buvat I. Understanding changes in tumor texture indices in PET: a comparison between visual assessment and index values in simulated and patient data. J Nucl Med. 2017;58:387–92.
- 22. Berghmans T, Dusart M, Paesmans M, Hossein-Foucher C, Buvat I, Castaigne C, et al. Primary tumor standardized uptake value (SUVmax) measured on fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomographye (FDG-PET) is of prognostic value for survival in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): a systematic review and meta-analysis (MA) by the European lung cancer working party for the IASLC lung cancer staging project. J Thorac Oncol. 2008;3:6–12.
- 23. Lee M, Jung Y, Kim D, Lee S, Jung C, Kang S, et al. Prognostic value of SUVmax in breast cancer and comparative analyses of molecular subtypes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2021;100(31): e26745.

- 24. Ghooskhanei H, Treglia G, Sabouri G, Davoodi R, Sadeghi R. Risk stratification and prognosis determination using (18)F-FDG PET imaging in endometrial cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gynecol Oncol. 2014;132(3):669–76.
- 25. Hughes N, Mou T, O'Regan N, Murphy P, O'Sullivan J, Wolsztunski E, et al. Tumor heterogeneity measurements using (18F)FDG PET/CT shows prognostic value in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Eur J Hybrid Imaging. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41824-018-0043-1.
- 26. van Gomez LO, Vicente A, Martinez A, Castrejon A, Londono G, Udias J, et al. Heterogeneity in (18F)fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography of non-small cell lung carcinoma and its relationship to metabolic parameters and pathologic staging. Mol Imaging. 2014. https://doi.org/10.2310/7290.2014.00032.
- 27. Shafiq-ul-Hassan M, Latifi K, Zhang G, Ullah G, Gillies R, Moros E. Voxel size and gray level normalization of CT radiomic features in lung cancer. Sci Rep. 2018;8:10545. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28895-9.
- 28. Welch M, McIntosh C, Haibe-Kains B, Milosevic M, Wee L, Dekker A, et al. Vulnerabilities of radiomic signature development: the need for safequards. Radiother Oncol. 2019;130:2–9.
- 29. Fathinul F, Nordin A, Lau W. 18(F)FDG -PET/CT is a useful molecular marker in evaluating tumour agressiveness: a revised understanding of an in-vivo FDG-PET imaging that alludes the alteration of cancer biology. Cell Biochem Biophys. 2013;66:37–43.
- 30. Pantel A, Ackerman D, Lee S, Mankoff D, Gade T. Imaging cancer metabolism: underlying biology and emerging strategies. J Nucl Med. 2018;59:1340–9.
- 31. Riester M, Xu Q, Moreira A, Michor A, Zheng J, Michor F, Downey R. The Warburg effect: persistence of stem-cell metabolism in cancers as a failure of differentiation. Ann Oncol. 2018;29:264–70.
- 32. Lucia F, Visvikis D, Desseroit M, Miranda O, Malhaire J, Robin P, et al. Prediction of outcome using pretreatment 18F-FDG PET/CT and MRI radiomics in locally advanced cervical cancer treated with chemoradiotherapy. EJNNMI. 2018:45:768–86.
- 33. Sun Y, Qiao X, Jiang C, Liu S, Zhou Z. Texture analysis improves the value of pretreatment 18F-FDG PET/CT in predicting interim response of primary gastrointestinal diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Contrast Media Mol Imaging. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2981585.
- 34. Hatt M, Rixier F, Cheze le Rest C, Pradier O, Visvikis D. Robustness of intratumour 18F-FDG PET uptake heterogenity quantification for therapy response prediction in oesophageal carcinoma. EJNNMI. 2013;40:1662–71.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen journal and benefit from:

- ► Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- ► Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ springeropen.com